Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* In which court is the matter now pending?

Khan Sahib Y. M. Roshan Sahib Bahadur (*Commissioner of Police*): Charge has not been sent to Court. It is under consideration whether a charge is to be laid.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* And yet you call it sub-judice?

Mr. M. Sivarama Menon (*Advocate-General*): It is sub-judice. The moment the F. I. R. is sent to the Court, the case comes under its charge and without the permission of the Court it cannot be withdrawn.

Mr. C. V. Iyyu *(Kunnamkulam):* Is the Government going to withdraw that case also?

Mr. M. Sivarama Menon (*Advocate-General*): Who ever said so?

Khan Sahib Y. M. Roshan Sahib Bahadur (*Commissioner of Police*) It is not decided.

EXTENT OF LANDS OWNED AND QUANTITY OF PADDY GIVEN BY CERTAIN PERSONS IN THIRUVANKULAM VILLAGE

161. Mr. P. Narayana Menon *(Trippunittura):* Will Government be pleased to give the following information.—

(*a*) the area of paddy fields belonging to Punnachalil Kurian Thomman and Koodamana Madhavan Kartha of Thiruvankulam Village;

(*b*) the actual yield of paddy from the above lands for the Kanni crop according to the statement filed by the parties before the Paddy Purchasing Officers and the paddy surrendered by each of them to Government?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):*

(*a*) and (*b*) It will not be consistent with public interest to publish the exact figures called for. The Hon'ble Member may, however, note that the purchases were made from the two individuals after due and proper enquiries were made regarding the extent and yield.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. നാരായണമേനോൻ: ശരിയായ സംഖ്യ പറഞ്ഞാൽ public interest-നെ എങ്ങിനെയാണ് അഫക്ട് ചെയ്യുന്നു?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul (*Director of Food Supplies*): In the opinion of the Government it is not consistent with public interest to publish the figures.

Mr. Joseph Petta (*Adur*): May I know the actual total quantity?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies)*: That is what has been asked for.

Mr. Joseph Petta (*Adur*): What is the objection to divulge the actual quantity?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies)*: That has been stated already.

PURCHASES OF VIRUPPU CROP—TALUK-WAR LIST OF

162. Mr. P. Narayana Menon *(Trippunittura):* Will Government be pleased to furnish a taluk-war statement of the paddy purchased during the Chingam—Kanni crops of 1119 and 1120 separately?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* The Taluk-war list of purchases from Viruppu crop of 1119 and that of 1120 till 15th November 1944/30th Thulam 1120 is appended. *(Please see Appendix II).*

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. നാരായണമേനോൻ തലപ്പിള്ളി താലൂക്കിൽ കഴിഞ്ഞ കൊല്ലത്തേക്കാൾ കുടുതലും ചിറ്റൂർ കൊച്ചി കണയന്നൂർ താലൂക്കുകളിൽ കഴിഞ്ഞ കൊല്ലത്തേക്കാൾ കുറവും വരുവാൻ പ്രത്യേകം വല്ല കാരണവുമുണ്ടോ?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies):* As regards Chittur, though on the date we have given the purchase was comparatively less, subsequently more has been purchased. Purchase was started only late. That is why on a particular date the purchase is less. But subsequently the purchase has been made up and the figure on 7th January 1945 is 7,41,768 paras of paddy as against what is given in the answer. As regards Cochin-Kanayannur the purchase is less because this year there has been a larger failure of crop in the Cochin-Kanayannur Taluk due to the scarcity of rain and ingress of salt water.

Mr. C. V. Iyyu (*Kunnamkulam*): May I know, whether any of these 7 Swaroopams referred to in the answer.....

Mr. President: On which question is the Hon'ble Member putting the supplementary question?

Mr. C. V. Iyyu (*Kunnamkulam*): I am on Mr. Ezhuthassan's question No. 163.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Do the figures cover all the three crops, Viruppu, Mundakan and Puncha?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Sir, to see that very many names were omitted from the list. The citizen has been deprived of his primary right. It is simply to bring forward this matter that I have tabled this adjournment motion.

With regard to the one point raised by the Hon'ble Member for Ezhuvas-Mukundapuram, I console myself that it was simply a feat of adulteration—adulterating the Staff Selection Board principle to this all-important and urgent matter. I may tell my Hon'ble friend all at once that I will prefer the perfection of the electoral roll to the abolition of the Staff Selection Board. But after the perfection of the electoral roll. I would myself cry that the Staff Selection Board is detrimental to our Services. And I will take the liberty, and I have the audacity, to point out mistakes, whether it is done by the Staff Selection Board clerk or it is done by a Parvathiam. We are here to point out the mistakes, if there are any, and it is our liberty to do so.

I do not press the motion, Sir.

Motion, by leave, withdrawn.

The House rose for the day at 5-10 p.m.

N. S. RADHAKRISHNA SARMA

Secretary to the Council

APPENDIX I

(Please see answer to Question No. 154 (d))

		Net Revenue	*Establishment charges*
1.	*Land Revenue*		
	1118	Rs. 12,29,321	Rs. 2,64,856.
	1119*	,, 12,25,000	,, 3,37,474.
2.	*Excise*		
	1118	,, 24,13,506	,, 2,28,489.
	1119	,, 36,05,300	,, 3,25,081.
3.	*Forest*		
	1118	,, 6,74,163	,, 1,23,576.
	1119	,, 10,75,712	,, 1,66,959.
4.	*Income-tax*		
	1118	,, 31,60,664	,, 22,437.
	1119	,, 36,33,411	,, 26,403*

* Figures tentative.

APPENDIX II

(Please see answer to Question No. 162)

Taluk-war List fo Viruppu Paddy Purchase

Name of Taluk	*Quantity purchased in 1119*			*Quantity purchased in 1120 till 15th November 1944/30th Thulam 1120*		
Cochin-Kanyannur Taluk	1,49,493	5	8	54,783	5	14¾
Cranganur	132	0	0	600	0	0
Mukundapuram	1,63,166	1	12	1,55,045	2	1
Trichur	1,15,380	7	12	1,75,157	6	4½
Talappalli	7,24,251	9	6	9,15,025	0	0
Chittur	6,77,116	2	0	6,34,039	9	0
	18,29,540	6	6	19,34,651	3	4¼

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly